

# The Common Core: Teaching Argumentative Writing and Speaking, Grades 6–12

In *The Common Core: Teaching Argumentative Writing and Speaking, Grades 6–12*, you'll gain a better understanding of why argumentative literacy is a key feature of academic life and a crucial skill students need for college and career. As you explore the main elements and structures of argumentative writing and speaking that you'll be teaching your students, you'll also examine the interplay between reading, writing, and speaking and see how argument is used across a variety of disciplines and the world beyond school.

## Course Objectives

By the end of this course, you will be able to

### Module 1

- Analyze and understand the main features of standards for argumentative writing and speaking called for by the Common Core standards.
- Critique current forms of persuasive writing and differentiate these from argumentative writing and speaking in light of Common Core standards.

### Module 2

- Understand the rhetorical elements and processes of argumentative writing, including establishing claims, construction and style, research, and presentation.
- Analyze how the specific elements of argumentative writing are used to accomplish a variety of tasks for different audiences, purposes, and occasions.

### Module 3

- Evaluate the effectiveness of argumentative writing elements within fiction and nonfiction literature for use as text-based evidence in students' own argumentative writing.

- Connect the teaching of argumentative writing to the analysis of important works of literature.

## Module 4

- Understand how the elements, organization, and purposes of argumentative writing can be applied within different content areas.
- Create instructional strategies that apply argumentative writing in a variety of content areas, such as history/social studies, mathematics, and science.

## Module 5

- Understand differences and similarities between argument used for formal events such as debates versus informal events such as class discussions.
- Develop instructional strategies around elements of audience, purpose, and occasion for use in a variety of argumentative speaking contexts.

## Module 6

- Analyze how argument presents itself in students' lives beyond school.
- Design a lesson to help students apply an understanding of how three key elements of argumentative writing and speaking—audience, occasion, and purpose—are used in everyday contexts beyond the classroom.

## Course Syllabus

<p><b>Module 1</b></p>	<p><b>Cultivating Argumentative Literacy for College and Careers</b></p> <p>Module Welcome</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reading 1: Argumentative Writing and Speaking in an Academic Culture</li> <li>• Reading 2: <i>EL</i>—Reading, Writing, and Thinking for All</li> <li>• Video: Persuasive versus Argumentative Writing</li> <li>• Media: If You Teach or Write 5-Paragraph Essays—Stop It!</li> <li>• Check for Understanding</li> <li>• Application: Analyze Student Writing for Argumentative Rhetoric</li> </ul> <p>Module Journal</p>
<p><b>Module 2</b></p>	<p><b>The Elements of Argumentative Writing</b></p> <p>Module Welcome</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reading 1: Teaching the Elements of Argument</li> <li>• Diagram: The Main Features of Argument</li> <li>• Video: The Toulmin Model of Argumentation</li> <li>• Reading 2: <i>EL</i>—For the Sake of Argument</li> <li>• Check for Understanding</li> <li>• Application: Inventory and Rate an Argument’s Structure and Elements</li> </ul> <p>Module Journal</p>
<p><b>Module 3</b></p>	<p><b>Argumentative Writing About Literature</b></p> <p>Module Welcome</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reading 1: Revealing Elements of Argument in Literary Texts</li> <li>• Reading 2: <i>EL</i>— Beyond the Five-Paragraph Essay</li> <li>• Video 1: Using Texts to Back Up Claims</li> <li>• Video 2: Small-Group Writing</li> <li>• Check for Understanding</li> <li>• Application: Chart Analysis and Argumentative Writing Template for Literature</li> </ul> <p>Module Journal</p>

<p><b>Module 4</b></p>	<p><b>Argumentative Writing in the Content Areas</b></p> <p>Module Welcome</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reading 1: <i>EL</i>— Argument Writing Across the Content Areas</li> <li>• Reading 2: Argument in the Content Areas: Honing the Counter Argument</li> <li>• Diagram: The Main Features of Argument</li> <li>• Video: The Discussion Web</li> <li>• Check for Understanding</li> <li>• Application: Analyze Argumentative Writing</li> </ul> <p>Module Journal</p>
<p><b>Module 5</b></p>	<p><b>Formal and Informal Argumentative Speaking</b></p> <p>Module Welcome</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reading 1: Making a Place for Argumentative Speaking in the Classroom</li> <li>• Reading 2: <i>EL</i>— Clash! The World of Debate</li> <li>• Video 1: Collaboration and Critical Thinking</li> <li>• Reading 3: <i>EL</i>—“Think Like a Seminar”</li> <li>• Video 2: Socratic Seminar: Supporting Claims and Counterclaims</li> <li>• Reading 4: Generating Questions for Discussions and Seminars</li> <li>• Check for Understanding</li> <li>• Application: Using the Argumentative Speaking Evaluation Rubric</li> </ul> <p>Module Journal</p>
<p><b>Module 6</b></p>	<p><b>Argument Beyond the Classroom</b></p> <p>Module Welcome</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reading 1: Revealing Argument Beyond the Classroom</li> <li>• Reading 2: Developing Reasoning Skills</li> <li>• Reading 3: <i>EL</i>—New Literacies and the Common Core</li> <li>• Video: Delivering and Evaluating Persuasive Speeches</li> <li>• Check for Understanding</li> <li>• Application: Analyzing and Evaluating Argument Beyond the Classroom</li> </ul> <p>Module Journal</p>

## Resources

- Anderson, L. (2000). *A taxonomy for learning, teaching, and assessing: A revision of Bloom's taxonomy of educational objectives, complete edition*. New York: Pearson.
- Aristotle & Cooper, L. (1960). *The rhetoric of Aristotle*. New Jersey: Prentice-Hall.
- Azzam, A. (2008). Clash! The world of debate. *Educational Leadership*, 65(5), 68–72.
- Barnard, B. (2005). *Outbreak: Plagues that changed history*. New York: Crown Publishers.
- Bain, A. (1890). *English composition and rhetoric*. New York: Appleton.
- Basler, R. P. (Ed.). (1989). *Lincoln: Speeches and writings 1859-1865*. New York: Literary Classics of the United States. Retrieved from iBook Library.
- Billings, L. & Roberts, T. (2012). Think like a seminar. *Educational Leadership*, 70(4), pp. 68–72.
- Bloom, B. (1956). *Taxonomy of educational objectives book I: Cognitive domain*. Boston: Addison.
- Burke, K. (1969). *A rhetoric of motives*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Chadwick, J. (2015). *Common Core: Paradigmatic shifts*. Cambridge: Cambridge Scholars' Press. (In progress).
- Chadwick, J. (2013, November 29). De-Mystifying the Core. NCTE Presentation.
- Chadwick, J. (2012, May). Green pens, marginal notes: Rethinking writing and student engagement. *English Journal*, 101(5), 15–16.
- Corbett, E. (1990). *Classical rhetoric for the modern student* (3rd ed.). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Corbett, E., & Eberly, R. (2000). *The elements of reasoning* (2nd ed.). New York: Allyn and Bacon.
- Devlin, K. (1999). Patterns of nature. In *Life by the numbers*. New York: John Wiley & Sons. Found in *Common Core State Standards: English language & literacy in history/social studies, science, and technical subjects: Appendix B: Text exemplars and sample performance tasks*, 137. Retrieved from [http://www.corestandards.org/assets/Appendix\\_B.pdf](http://www.corestandards.org/assets/Appendix_B.pdf)
- Douglass, F. (April, 1863). Why should a colored man enlist? *Douglass' Monthly*. V (VI). 818–819. Retrieved from <http://www.frederick-douglass-heritage.org/why-should-a-colored-man-enlist/>
- Fishkin, S. (Ed.) (1996). *The Oxford Mark Twain: Adventures of Huckleberry Finn*. New York: Oxford University Press. (Original work published 1885)

- Fleming, A. (1929). On the antibacterial action of cultures of a *Penicillium*, with special reference to their use in isolation of *B. influenzae*. *British Journal of Experimental Pathology*, 10, 226–36. Retrieved from <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2048009/pdf/brjexp-pathol00255-0037.pdf>
- Glaspell, S. (1985). Trifles. In S. Gilbert & S. Gubar (Eds.) *The Norton anthology of literature by women* (pp. 1389–99). New York: Norton. (Note: *Trifles* is a one-act play that Glaspell later transformed into a short story. The contents are the same.)
- Graff, G. (2004). *Clueless in academe: How schooling obscures the life of the mind*. New Haven: Yale University Press.
- Hansberry, L. (1959). *A Raisin in the Sun*. New York: Knopf Doubleday.
- Hawkins, J. (2006). Think before you write. *Educational Leadership*, 64(2), 63–66.
- Hernandez, A., Kaplan, M., & Schwartz, R. (2006, October). For the sake of argument. *Educational Leadership*, 64(2), 48–52.
- Hillocks, G. (1987, May). Synthesis of research on teaching writing. *Educational Leadership*, 44(8), 71–72.
- Jokinen, A. (Ed.). (1996). *Luminarium: Anthology of English literature*. Retrieved from <http://www.luminarium.org>.
- Kaczynski, A. (2014, March 5). Hillary Clinton: I'm not comparing Putin to Hitler, I'm just saying he's acting like Hitler. *BuzzFeed Politics*. Retrieved from <http://www.buzzfeed.com/andrewkaczynski/hillary-clinton-im-not-comparing-putin-to-hitler-im-just-say>
- Kist, W. (2013). New literacies and the common core. *Educational Leadership*, 70(6), 38–43. Retrieved from <http://www.ascd.org/publications/educational-leadership/mar13/vol70/num06/New-Literacies-and-the-Common-Core.aspx>
- Lanham, R. (1991). *A handlist of rhetorical terms* (2nd ed.). Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Mandell, N., & Malone, B. (2007). *Thinking like a historian: Rethinking history instruction*. Madison, WI: Wisconsin Society Historical Press.
- Marzano, R. (2012-13, January). Art and science of teaching/analyzing complex texts. *Educational Leadership*, 70(4), 84–85.

- Marzano, R. (2007). *The art and science of teaching: A comprehensive framework for effective instruction*. Alexandria, VA: ASCD.
- Marzano, R. (1988). *Dimensions of thinking: A framework for curriculum and instruction*. ASCD.
- Marzano, R. and Kendall, J. (2007). *The new taxonomy of educational objectives* (2nd ed.). London: Sage.
- McCann, T. (1989). Student argumentative writing: Knowledge and ability at three grade levels. *Research in the Teaching of English*, 23(1), 62–76.
- Museum of Science. (2013). Our global kitchen: food, nature, culture exhibit. Boston, MA.
- National Commission on Writing in America's Schools and Colleges (2003, April). *The neglected "R": The need for a writing revolution*. New York, NY: The College Board.
- National Council for the Social Studies (NCSS). (2013). *College, career and civic life (C3) framework for social studies state standards: Guidance for enhancing the rigor of K–12 civics, economics, geography, and history*. Retrieved from <http://www.socialstudies.org/system/files/c3/C3-Framework-for-Social-Studies.pdf>
- National Governors Association Center for Best Practices, & Council of Chief State School Officers. (2010). *Common core state standards for English language arts & literacy in history/social studies, science, and technical subjects*. Retrieved from <http://www.corestandards.org/ELA-Literacy>
- Next Generation Science Standards (NGSS). (2013). Appendix A: Conceptual shifts in the next generation science standards. Retrieved from <http://www.nextgenscience.org/next-generation-science-standards>
- National Speech & Debate Association. (n.d.). *Why speech and debate*. Retrieved from <http://www.speechanddebate.org.aspx/nav.aspx?navid=194&pnavid=194>
- Poe, E. (1846). The philosophy of composition. *Graham's American Monthly Magazine of Literature and Art*, 28(4), 163-167. Retrieved from <http://www.eapoe.org/works/essays/philcomp.htm>
- Quinn, H., Lee, O., & Valdes, G. (2012). Language demands and opportunities in relation to next generation science standards for English language learners: What teachers need to know. In *Understanding language: Language, literacy, and learning in the content areas*. Retrieved from <http://ell.stanford.edu/publication/language-demands-and-opportunities-relation-next-generation-science-standards-ells>

- Sampson, V., Enderle, P., Grooms, J., & Witte, S. (2013). Writing to learn by learning to write during the school science laboratory: Helping middle and high school students develop argumentative writing skills as they learn core ideas. *Science Education*, 97(5), 643–70.
- Schachter, J. (2012). Participation in government and economics through news literacy. Retrieved from <http://www.centerfornewsliteracy.org/wp-content/uploads/2012/04/Northport-proposal.pdf>
- Schmoker, M. (2006). *Results now: How we can achieve unprecedented improvements in teaching and learning*. Alexandria, VA: ASCD.
- Scott, D. (2012, Aug. 16). Civic engagement through law-related education and news literacy. *ASCD Express* 7(23). Retrieved from <http://www.ascd.org/ascd-express/vol7/723-scott.aspx>
- Shakespeare, W. (2014). *The tragedy of Julius Caesar*. In Shakespeare Pro (Version 1.6.9) [Mobile application software] (Original work published 1599). Retrieved from <https://itunes.apple.com/us/app/id341392367?mt=App>.
- Shuster, K. (2008). Getting started with debate. *Educational Leadership*, 65(5), 70.
- Spielberg, S. (Producer), & Bey, M. (Director). *Transformers* [movie]. (2007). United States: DreamWorks Pictures.
- Stotsky, S. (2012, December). *Common core standards' devastating impact on literary study and analytical thinking* [Brief 3800]. Washington, DC: The Heritage Foundation.
- Wiggins, G., & McTighe, J. (2005). *Understanding by design*. Alexandria, VA: ASCD.